Suppression of the persistent spin Hall current by defect scattering
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We study the linear response spin Hall conductivity of a two-dimensional electron gas (2DEG) in the presence of the Rashba spin-orbit interaction in the diffusive transport regime. When defect scattering is modeled by isotropic short-range potential scatterers the spin Hall conductivity vanishes due to the vertex correction. A nonvanishing spin Hall effect may be recovered for dominantly forward defect scattering.
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Spintronics is the rapidly developing field of research aimed at using not only the charge but also the spin degree of freedom of electrons in electronic circuits and devices. In order to be compatible with microelectronic technology, effective spin injection into conventional semiconductors is necessary. Injection of spins via attached ferromagnets has turned out to be quite difficult. This is one motivation to investigate the possibilities of making use of the spin-orbit (SO) interaction, which may spin-polarize a nonmagnetic conductor simply by applying a source-drain bias. The two-dimensional electron gas (2DEG) is an ideal model system to investigate the physics of these effects. In sufficiently asymmetric confinement potentials the so-called Rashba term dominates the SO interaction. The Datta spin transistor concept is based on the tunability of the Rashba interaction by an external gate potential.

Applying an electric field in the x direction of a Rashba 2DEG spanning the x, y plane induces a charge current in the x direction, and also a homogeneous spin accumulation in the y direction proportional to the field strength. Recently, Sinova et al. reported a persistent spin Hall current for a ballistic Rashba 2DEG. The acceleration of the electrons by the external electric field (along the x direction) modifies the SO-induced pseudomagnetic field such that the spins are tilted out of the 2DEG plane in directions that are opposite to the Rashba SO-induced pseudomagnetic field. This corresponds to a flow of $s_+ = +1/2$ and $-1/2$ spins in opposite directions without a corresponding net charge transport.

Spin accumulation results from a ratio of the Rashba SO splitting to the thermal energy. Only without the vertex correction does the spin Hall conductivity tend to $e/8\pi$ as predicted by Sinova et al. Physically, the diffuse scattering represented by the vertex correction efficiently scrambles the precession of spins out of the 2DEG plane that is induced by the Rashba SO splitting. The Rashba effect vanishes identically for short-range impurity scattering.

We model the disorder by randomly distributed isotropic short-range potentials and compute longitudinal and transverse (Hall) conductivities for both charge and spin currents by the Kubo formalism in the Born approximation and in the low temperature limit. The SO interaction is subject to a significant conductivity vertex correction, which we find here to be decisive for the spin Hall current. The vertex correction appears in such a way that the current operator along the x direction corresponding to the Rashba Hamiltonian $J_x = \hbar \langle \hat{l} \rangle$ is modified by substituting $\hat{l}$ with $\hat{l} = \lambda + \lambda'$. Here $\sigma_i (i = x, y, z)$ are the Pauli spin matrices. The correction term $\lambda'$ is not necessarily small compared with $\lambda$ and found to be $-\lambda$ in the weak scattering regime. Only without the vertex correction does the spin Hall conductivity tend to $e/8\pi$ as predicted by Sinova et al. Physically, the diffuse scattering represented by the vertex correction efficiently scrambles the precession of spins out of the 2DEG plane that is induced by the Rashba SO splitting. The Rashba Hamiltonian in the momentum representation and Pauli spin space reads

$$H_0 = \begin{pmatrix} (\hbar^2/2m)k^2 & i\hbar k_y \\ -i\hbar k_x & (\hbar^2/2m)k^2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $k = \sqrt{k_x^2 + k_y^2}$, $k_x = k_x \pm i k_y$, with $k = (k_x, k_y)$ the electron momentum in the 2DEG plane, and $\lambda$ parametrizes the tunable spin-orbit coupling. The eigenfunctions and eigenvalues of the Hamiltonian corresponding to periodic boundary conditions are given as...
mitian operators.

The activity can be written

\[ E_{ks} = \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m} + s\lambda h k , \]  

\[ \phi_{ks} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2L^2}} e^{i k \cdot l_k} \left( \frac{1}{l_k} \right) , \]  

where the superscripts correspond to an isotropic (s-wave) description of the disorder.

The vertex function is the same as before. Symmetry tells us that the vertex correction \( \lambda' \) is the solution of

\[ \lambda' = \frac{n V^2}{4 L^2} \sum_{k, s} \left[ b k_1 \Pi_{s1} + (\lambda + \lambda') (\Pi_{s1}^+ + \Pi_{s1}^-) \right] , \]  

with \( \Pi_{s1}^+ = \Pi_{s1}^+ + s \Pi_{s1}^- \) and \( \Pi_{s1}^+ = G_{ks} G_{ks'} \).

The generalized spin conductivity tensor in Pauli spin space reads

\[ \sigma_{ij}^G = \frac{\hbar}{2 \pi L^2} \text{Tr} J_x^r (GJ_s G)_{ia} \sim \frac{\hbar}{2 \pi L^2} \text{Tr} J_x^r K_s , \]  

where the vertex function is the same as before. Symmetry tells us that

\[ \text{Tr} J_x^r K_s = \frac{e b h}{8} \text{Tr} \{ b k^2 \Pi^+ + \tilde{\lambda} k \Pi^- \} \sigma_z , \]  

\[ \text{Tr} J_y^r K_s = \frac{e b h}{8} \text{Tr} \{ b k^2 \Pi^+ + (\tilde{\lambda} + \lambda) k \Pi^- \} \sigma_y , \]  

\[ \text{Tr} J_z^r K_s = \frac{e b h}{8} \text{Tr} \{ b k^2 \Pi^+ + \tilde{\lambda} k \Pi^- \} \sigma_z , \]  

\[ \text{Tr} J_y^r K_s = \frac{e b h}{8} \text{Tr} \{ b k^2 \Pi^+ + \lambda k \Pi^- \} \sigma_y , \]  

\[ \text{Tr} J_z^r K_s = \frac{e b h}{8} \text{Tr} \{ b k^2 \Pi^+ + \lambda k \Pi^- \} \sigma_z . \]  

Because the Green functions depend only on the trace of the equations above vanish by symmetry. Without the SO interaction, all matrix elements of

\[ J_x^r K_s \]  

vanish except for \( J_x^r K_s \) and \( J_y^r K_s \). But also these terms become zero after taking the trace. This means that no spin current flows along the external electric field.

Only the spin Hall conductivity \( \sigma_{xy}^G \) proportional to \( \text{Tr} J_x^r K_s \) is nonzero, indicating that a spin Hall current along the y direction and polarized in the z direction may exist when an external electric field is applied along x, as predicted for the ballistic limit.

The magnitude of the spin Hall effect can be calculated easily by adopting the following approximation for the product of Green functions at the Fermi energy \( \epsilon_F \).
which holds when the energy dependence of the self-energy is weak and the broadening is small compared to the SO energy splitting at the Fermi energy, $|\text{Im} \Sigma| \ll 2\hbar \Lambda$. Equation (19) implies that in the weak scattering limit only electrons at the Fermi energy contribute to the spin Hall current. Then

$$\sigma_{yx} = - \sigma_{xy} = \frac{e\lambda}{8\pi\lambda},$$

(20)

for $\epsilon_F > 0$. This agrees with the ballistic result $\sigma_{yx} = \varepsilon/8\pi$ by Sinova et al.\textsuperscript{9} except for a factor $\lambda/\Lambda = 1 + \lambda'/\lambda$ due to the vertex correction, but is identical to it when the vertex correction $\lambda'$ is neglected.

By substituting Eq. (19) into Eq. (11), the vertex correction $\lambda'$ is evaluated as $\lambda' = -\lambda$, i.e., the spin Hall conductivity vanishes. Equation (19) is equivalent to the weak scattering or strong SO interaction limit. As far as the spin Hall current is concerned, the effect of the impurity vertex correction is thus found to be much more important than that of the impurity self-energy in the Green function treated by Schlömann and Loss\textsuperscript{15} and by Sinitsyn et al.\textsuperscript{16}

The ballistic result can be recovered by considering the frequency dependent conductivity

$$\sigma_{\mu \nu} = \lim_{\omega \to 0} \frac{Q_{\mu \nu}^S(\omega) - Q_{\mu \nu}^S(0)}{-i\omega},$$

(21)

in terms of the correlation function

$$Q_{\mu \nu}^S(i\nu_n) = \frac{1}{L^2} \sum_m \text{Tr}[J_{\mu}^S G(i\omega_n + i\nu_n)J_{\nu} G(i\omega_m)],$$

(22)

$$= \frac{1}{L^2} \text{Tr}[J_{\mu}^S K_{\nu} (i\nu_n)],$$

(23)

with

$$K_{\nu} (i\nu_n) = \frac{1}{\beta} \sum_m \langle G(i\omega_m + i\nu_n)J_{\nu} G(i\omega_m) \rangle_{av}. $$

(24)

The vertex correction is calculated as before resulting in

$$K_{\nu} (i\nu_n) = \frac{1}{\beta} \sum_m \tilde{G}(i\omega_m + i\nu_n)\tilde{J}_{\nu} \tilde{G}(i\omega_m),$$

(25)

where $\tilde{J}_\nu$ includes $\lambda = \lambda + \lambda'$ with

$$\lambda'(\omega) = -\frac{\hbar}{\tau} \frac{1}{\hbar \omega + \hbar / \tau},$$

(26)

and letting $i\nu_n \to \hbar \omega + i0$. Here we assumed that $\omega \ll \epsilon_F$ and [as in Eq. (19)] weak scattering.\textsuperscript{18} This result generalizes Eq. (20). When the $\tau \to \infty$ limit is taken first, $\lambda'(\omega) \to 0$, thus recovering the ballistic limit.\textsuperscript{9} When we take the $\omega \to 0$ limit first, $\lambda'(\omega) = -\lambda$, and the spin Hall conductivity vanishes as before.

We made the rather crucial approximation that the scattering potential is short-ranged, thus isotropic in momentum space. As mentioned above, Sinova et al.\textsuperscript{9} explain the ballistic spin Hall current in terms of the precession of spins out of the 2DEG plane when accelerated by the electric field. The extra momentum change is only meaningful for electrons near the Fermi surface, viz., the spin Hall current in the Rashba 2DEG is carried only by electron spins near the Fermi surface. In the presence of isotropic impurity scattering, electrons with momentum $\mathbf{k}$ are scattered into all other momenta $\mathbf{k}'$ at the Fermi energy with equal rate, and the spin Hall current disappears with the average spin tilting. This picture is not appropriate anymore when the impurity potentials are long-ranged, scattering predominantly in the forward direction. In that case the short-range model misrepresents the “skew scattering” corresponding to a nonzero Hall angle.\textsuperscript{19} We argue below that the spin Hall conductance acts more sensitive to randomization than the diagonal conductance $\sigma_{xx}$\textsuperscript{20}

For long-range anisotropic scatterers the longitudinal conductivity is governed not by the energy lifetime $\tau$ but the transport (momentum) lifetime $\tau_s$ because the momentum integration in the vertex function over $V^2 k_x$ ($k$ is the current direction) does not vanish.\textsuperscript{18} Physically this means that the forward (small angle) scattering does not contribute to the resistivity. Without SO interaction, the vertex correction due to anisotropic scattering reads

$$b' = \langle n V^2 \rangle \frac{1}{2L^2} \sum_{k_1} (b + b') \Pi_{k_1}^s,$$

(27)

where $b = \hbar / m$, $1/\kappa = \tau / \tau_s$, and $\langle n V^2 \rangle$ is an average of the scattering potential over the Fermi surface. The transport lifetime is given by $1/\tau_s = 1/\tau - 1/\tau_a$.

When the SO interaction is incorporated into this vertex correction, the expression of the longitudinal charge conductivity and spin accumulation obtained before\textsuperscript{7} are modified as

$$\sigma_{xx} = \frac{1}{m} \frac{\tau_s}{\tau} \frac{\varepsilon}{D} + e^2 D \tau_s \Lambda^2,$$

(28)

and

$$\langle s_x \rangle = 2 \tau_s e E D \Lambda, $$

(29)

respectively. Here we have used the following relations: $1/\tau = 2\pi n V^2 L / h = n V^2 m / \hbar^3$, with $D = m / 2\pi \hbar^2$, where $D$ is the density of states of 2DEG. Note that the relation $\sigma_{xx}^{||} = \sigma_{xx}^{\perp}$ holds for arbitrary values of $b'$ and $\lambda'$. The spin Hall effect may survive when small angle scattering dominates because only states close to each other in momentum space are scrambled. The anisotropy may affect the effective current operator in Eq. (11): the first term in parenthesis on the right-hand side becomes $\lambda$ in the isotropic scattering case and is likely to dominate for not too large long-range potentials. The vertex correction $\lambda'$ is then given by
in which \( k_{x}^{2} \) and \( k_{y}^{2} = k_{x}^{2} - k_{z}^{2} \), are replaced with weighted averages \( k_{x}^{2}/2\kappa' \) and \( k_{y}^{2}/2\kappa' \) over the angle. With \( 1/\kappa' = \pi/\tau', \) we get \( \tilde{\lambda} = (\tau/\tau_H)(1 + 1/\pi - 1/\tau' \). In the isotropic case, \( \tau_H \to \infty \) and \( \tilde{\lambda} \to 0 \), but in general the spin Hall current is finite. This argument does not take into account the full effects of the anisotropy but demonstrates how the vertex correction for anisotropic scattering affects the spin-Hall conductivity.

Burkov and MacDonald\(^{21} \) computed the spin Hall conductivity for the Rashba 2DEG model system with short-range impurity scattering. These authors focus on the dirty limit in international project “Nano-scale Magnetoelectronics,” Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) and for Scientific Research in Priority Areas “Semiconductor Nanospintronics” of The Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology of Japan, the NAREGI Nanoscience Project, and the FOM Foundation, the DFG (SFB 410), and the DARPA Spins program.

Burkov and MacDonald\(^{21} \) computed the spin Hall conductivity for the Rashba 2DEG model system with short-range impurity scattering. These authors focus on the dirty limit in which the lifetime broadening exceeds the SO energy splitting, opposite to the clean limit discussed here, but also find a vanishing spin Hall current. A recent numerical study for finite size systems\(^{32} \) found that the spin Hall conductivity vanishes when the system size is larger than the localization length. A direct comparison is not straightforward because the scattering strength in the numerical calculations is not weak and the mean free path, localization length, and system size are of the same order of magnitude, whereas we work with a weak scattering approximation and an infinite system size. Still, it appears that our analytical results are not in conflict with the outcome of these simulations.

Murakami \textit{et al.}\(^{23} \) developed a theory for the spin Hall current in hole-doped semiconductors described by the Luttinger Hamiltonian. Separating the spin Hall current into a topologically conserved (intraband) and nonconserved (interband) contribution,\(^{23} \) these authors contend that the former, which does not exist in the Rashba 2DEG, is robust against impurity scattering.\(^{24} \) The breakdown of the spin Hall current by impurity scattering in the Rashba 2DEG discussed here would then correspond to the vanishing of the nonconserved part of the spin Hall current. Microscopic calculations for the Luttinger Hamiltonian analogous to the present ones are necessary to unambiguously prove that the topological spin Hall current indeed survives under impurity scattering.

In conclusion, we have examined the effect of impurities on the spin Hall conductivity of a Rashba-split 2DEG and found that the vertex correction (diffuse electron scattering) to the conductivity is essential, causing the spin Hall effect to vanish.

\textit{Note added in proof.} Recently, we have become aware of a paper by Murakami\(^{25} \) that confirms that the spin-Hall current vanishes in the diffuse Rashba 2DEG but that the vertex correction does not affect the spin-Hall current in the Luttinger hole system.
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